

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/082,534 Examiner Virgil Herring	STIRBU, VLAD ALEXANDRU Art Unit 2132

All Participants:

(1) Virgil Herring.

Status of Application: Non-finally rejected 5 Sept. 2006

(3) _____.

(2) James Retter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2007

Time: 12:55 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 Rejection of claim 12

Claims discussed:

Claim 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested permission to cancel or amend claim 12 to overcome the rejection of claim 12 under 101 to prepare the case for allowance. Mr. Retter reviewed the case and called back with a response to amend the claims. In searching for support for an amendment, it was found that there is no corresponding structure for the Application Program Interface in the specification. Examiner again contacted Mr. Retter to inform him that the case would not be allowed after all..